University of Michigan
Fall 2019 Individual Response Report
EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Nicole Hamilton

67 out of 147 students responded to this evaluation.

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Strongly Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Strongly Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Almost Always

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Strongly Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Strongly Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Typical

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Agree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Almost Always

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Frequently

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Strongly Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Neutral

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Neutral

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Strongly Agree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Strongly Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Strongly Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Strongly Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Strongly Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - [No Response]

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - [No Response]

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - [No Response]

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    - [No Response]

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Neutral

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Frequently

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Neutral

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Heavier

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Agree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Frequently

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Frequently

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Agree

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Agree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - [No Response]

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - [No Response]

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - [No Response]

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    - [No Response]

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Frequently

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - [No Response]

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Agree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Frequently

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Frequently

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Disagree

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Strongly Agree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - The instruction was done well. It wasn't very engaging, but the Nicole knew what she was talking about and was funny.

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - The strengths were the projects really help develop understanding.

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - The office hours queue, and the free response on the exams. I didn't feel like I had sufficient time to complete it at all. Also

it didn't represent my understanding of the material.

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    - EECS 183

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Strongly Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Strongly Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Almost Always

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Strongly Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Strongly Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Typical

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Strongly Agree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Almost Always

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Almost Always

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Strongly Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Strongly Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Strongly Agree

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Strongly Agree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Strongly Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Strongly Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Strongly Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Strongly Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - [No Response]

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - [No Response]

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - [No Response]

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    ◦ [No Response]

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Neutral

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Sometimes

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Neutral

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Much Heavier

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Neutral

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Frequently

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Sometimes

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Strongly Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Neutral

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Disagree

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Neutral

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Neutral

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Strongly Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - instruction quality varied. had to start watching other lecturers

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - that all lectures are recorded. thats key

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - talk about projects more in lecture

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    - EECS 183, it is the prerequisite lol

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Strongly Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Almost Always

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Heavier

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Agree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Almost Always

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Frequently

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Strongly Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Strongly Disagree

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Disagree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Disagree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Strongly Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - Extremely high

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - Well structured

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - I understand that the projects are parallel to class material but having the 3rd project be by far the hardest, due at the same

time as midterms seems like poor structure.

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
   ◦ Honestly having the summer to code for work prepared me for this course much more than any prereqs

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Neutral

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Frequently

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Heavier

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - N/A

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - N/A

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - N/A

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - N/A

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - N/A

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Neutral

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Neutral

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Neutral

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - [No Response]

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - [No Response]

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
   - [No Response]

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    - [No Response]

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Strongly Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Almost Always

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Typical

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - N/A

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - N/A

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - N/A

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - N/A

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Strongly Disagree

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Strongly Disagree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Strongly Disagree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - [No Response]

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - [No Response]

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - [No Response]

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - The exams are not very practical in my mind. A big part of a computer science job in the future will involve a computer so it

seems only fair to be afforded such materials on exams so that we are tested on different bits of the course rather than compiling errors.

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    ◦ [No Response]

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Frequently

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Lighter

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Agree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Frequently

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Frequently

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Agree

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Agree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - [No Response]

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - [No Response]

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - [No Response]

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    - ◦ [No Response]

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Strongly Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Frequently

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Disagree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Disagree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Much Heavier

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Disagree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Almost Always

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Frequently

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Strongly Disagree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Neutral

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Neutral

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Agree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Disagree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Strongly Disagree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - She was a good instructor a lot of respect for her knowledge

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - This course taught me that I am not cut out for the EECS curriculum. Even though I find coding relaxing and somewhat enjoy the topic being taught, the class is fucking brutal. I feel like you guys are trying to kill me during project 5. Overall, I got fucked. But at least I got fucked here and didn't waste my time taking 281. If I didn't have a good time here, I doubt I'll have a good time in any other CS courses. I'll elaborate on how you could have not fucked me in the "What suggestions would you

make for improving the course" part of the survey.

Thank you for the semester though

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    ◦ Please, have more office hours. I genuinely wanted to go and learn more about various topics but was discouraged to do so due to the long queues or other problems. I understand you guys have made great strides in improving the learning outside of lectures but I really do not feel like I had the resources necessary to learn the material to mastery. Unlike other classes where the material is very clear about undestanding I felt like this class failed to teach outside of the typical lecture style. The class did not fit the way I learn and I usually find ways around that but there was just no time for me to do so and when I did have a bit of time, there was a queue and lines of people asking questions about the project. The lab was a good addition and the IAs were really good although I felt lost some weeks as the course felt like it was moving too fast and did not stop unless there was an exam which was very stressful. At times, I feel like the EECS department hates their students since this class is still technically an intro programming course.

    TL:DR
    If I were to change the class, I would fix by creating a better office hours environment for students. For example, finding and reserving a room and then having teachers and AIs present. Obviously, the basement queue would still be a thing but providing a more social and interactive environment to learn and collaborate with others is amazing for students like me who struggle to learn without discussion as they spark conversation and increase my depth of knowledge as I try to teach others and have others teach me. Kind of like the physics help room but scaled up to accommodate the EECS students.

    Also, for God's sake give us just like a few more days on the projects. Just like 1 or 2 more days. I feel like my total lifespan has shortened by years. I am learning how to code for the first time in college and I already feel like I'm at a disadvantage trying to learn and finish these projects in an efficient manner. The reason why students do well is that they already know how to code or invest an insane amount of time into this class. Or at the very least just release projects early with a description of what we can currently do. Like you did that for project 4. You guys did good with Project 4. Or give us a break in–between. Time feels very crunched for no reason at all.

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    ◦ This class is basically a time management course so anything like EECS 183 or eng 101 which helped decrease time spent on projects and classes with a high time demand to help increase time usage effectiveness. Overall, I think EECS 183 is probably the best as it gets you used the coding environment ish

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Strongly Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Strongly Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Almost Always

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Strongly Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Strongly Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Heavier

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Strongly Agree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Almost Always

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Almost Always

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Strongly Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Strongly Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Strongly Disagree

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Neutral

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Strongly Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Strongly Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Strongly Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - [No Response]

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - [No Response]

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - [No Response]

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    ◦ [No Response]

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Strongly Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Strongly Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Frequently

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Strongly Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Strongly Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Heavier

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Strongly Agree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Almost Always

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Almost Always

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Strongly Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Neutral

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Agree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Strongly Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Strongly Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - I found that this course was taught well overall. Having the ability to watch or attend lectures of any section was very helpful.

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - The in–depth explanations of the material being learned was helpful to conceptualizing what was actually happening with code being worked on. I found these explanations to be useful to my work.

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**

- Making the project specifications clearer would be helpful; sometimes very pertinent information was in obscure places in the spec.

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    - Eng 101 was the most effective as it gave me a background in C++.

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Strongly Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Strongly Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Almost Always

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Strongly Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Strongly Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Heavier

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Agree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Sometimes

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Sometimes

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Strongly Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Strongly Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Strongly Agree

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Strongly Agree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Strongly Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Strongly Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Strongly Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - [No Response]

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - [No Response]

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - [No Response]

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
   - EECS 183 definitely prepared me to take this course.

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Strongly Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Strongly Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Almost Always

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Strongly Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Strongly Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Typical

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Agree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Almost Always

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Frequently

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Strongly Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - N/A

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Strongly Agree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Strongly Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Strongly Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Strongly Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Strongly Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - Nicole Hamilton is a great professor but sometimes the way she asks questions is a little intimidating.

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - In–class exercises are helpful.

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - Elaborate more on project specs to make them easier to read and work with.

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    - Engineering 101 because we learned C++ there.

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Strongly Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Strongly Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Almost Always

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Strongly Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Strongly Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Much Lighter

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Strongly Agree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Almost Always

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Almost Always

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Strongly Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Strongly Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Strongly Agree

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Strongly Agree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Strongly Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Strongly Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Strongly Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Strongly Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - It was a pleasure to have someone as experienced as Hamilton teaching me. This class has easily been my favorite in college so far and the people who organized it did a fantastic job.

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - The projects, while time consuming and difficult, were set up extremely well and always were interesting and relevant. Most of my learning in this course was through the projects.

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - Project 4 felt less relevant to me than the others, and my partner and I were lost for a decent chunk of the project. I could be in the minority here though, I don't know for sure.

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    - ENGR 101 of course.

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Strongly Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Strongly Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Almost Always

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Strongly Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Strongly Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Typical

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Strongly Agree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Almost Always

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Almost Always

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Strongly Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Strongly Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Strongly Agree

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Strongly Agree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Strongly Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Strongly Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Strongly Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Strongly Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - [No Response]

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - [No Response]

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - [No Response]

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**

   ◦ [No Response]

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Strongly Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Strongly Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Almost Always

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Strongly Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Strongly Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Typical

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Strongly Agree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Almost Always

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Almost Always

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Strongly Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Strongly Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Disagree

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Strongly Agree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Strongly Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Strongly Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Strongly Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Strongly Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - Great

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - Lab assignments and projects

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - None

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    ◦ [No Response]

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Neutral

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Disagree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Occasionally

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Disagree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Strongly Disagree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Much Heavier

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Agree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Frequently

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Frequently

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Strongly Disagree

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Strongly Disagree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Disagree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Neutral

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Strongly Disagree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Strongly Disagree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - [No Response]

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - [No Response]

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - [No Response]

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**

- [No Response]

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Strongly Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Strongly Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Almost Always

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Strongly Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Strongly Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Much Heavier

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Agree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Almost Always

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Frequently

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Strongly Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Strongly Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Disagree

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Strongly Agree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Strongly Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Strongly Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Strongly Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Strongly Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - I liked Professor Hamilton, although at times her questions would come off a little aggressive I no one volunteered to answer right away. Otherwise, she was great

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - I think the projects and labs were really well built so we got to learn a lot from them

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**

- The exams seem unfairly hard because some of the material tested would never appear in real world code

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    - engineering 101 was the most helpful preparing me for this class

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Strongly Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Strongly Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Almost Always

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Strongly Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Strongly Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Much Heavier

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - N/A

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - N/A

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - N/A

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - N/A

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - N/A

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Strongly Agree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Strongly Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Strongly Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Strongly Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - [No Response]

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - [No Response]

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - [No Response]

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    ◦ [No Response]

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Frequently

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Heavier

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Neutral

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Frequently

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Sometimes

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Strongly Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Strongly Disagree

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Agree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - I ended up finding I preferred Professor Fu's lecutures, but overall this was a great course that was well taught

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - [No Response]

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - [No Response]

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**

   ◦ [No Response]

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Strongly Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Strongly Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Frequently

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Strongly Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Strongly Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Heavier

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Strongly Agree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Almost Always

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Frequently

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Strongly Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Disagree

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Strongly Agree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Neutral

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - Professor Hamilton was very knowledgeable on the subject matter and explained concepts clearly. She was also very open to questions and encouraged class participation.

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - Instructors were very knowledgeable, and projects were very engaging. Lab sections and office hours were also very helpful.

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - I think the specs for projects could be made clearer for students. It may also be helpful to have reductions in office hours queue times during busy times.

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    - I think EECS 183 was the most helpful, because the structure was pretty similar to that of 280.

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Strongly Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Strongly Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Almost Always

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Heavier

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Neutral

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Sometimes

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Sometimes

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Agree

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Agree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Strongly Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Strongly Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Strongly Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Strongly Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - All of the professors were knowledgable in the topics taught in lecture, and the projects/labs allowed us to put to use what we learned.

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - The strengths of this course are the teaching staff, challenging projects, and overall organization.

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**

- I would suggest figuring out a better system for office hours, especially around the project deadline. Sometimes I'll be done there a few days before the deadline and there would only 2 IAs helping students.

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    - EECS 183 was the most effective in preparing me for this course.

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Strongly Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Almost Always

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Much Heavier

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - N/A

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - N/A

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - N/A

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - N/A

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Neutral

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - N/A

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Neutral

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Neutral

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Neutral

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - [No Response]

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - [No Response]

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - [No Response]

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**

   ◦ [No Response]

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Strongly Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Frequently

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Neutral

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Much Heavier

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Agree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Almost Always

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Almost Always

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Strongly Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - N/A

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Agree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Strongly Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Neutral

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - good professor

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - interesting topics

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - more gsis during office hours

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
   ◦ [No Response]

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Frequently

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Much Heavier

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Agree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Frequently

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Frequently

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Disagree

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Neutral

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Neutral

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - [No Response]

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - [No Response]

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - [No Response]

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
   - ○ [No Response]

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Almost Always

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Strongly Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Strongly Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Much Heavier

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Strongly Agree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Almost Always

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Almost Always

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Strongly Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Strongly Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Strongly Agree

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Strongly Agree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Strongly Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Strongly Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Strongly Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Strongly Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - [No Response]

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - [No Response]

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - [No Response]

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
   ◦ [No Response]

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Strongly Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Strongly Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Frequently

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Strongly Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Much Heavier

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Disagree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Frequently

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Occasionally

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Strongly Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Strongly Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Strongly Disagree

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Agree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Strongly Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Strongly Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Strongly Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - [No Response]

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - [No Response]

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - [No Response]

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    - ENGR101

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - [No Response]

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - [No Response]

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - [No Response]

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - [No Response]

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - [No Response]

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - [No Response]

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - [No Response]

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - [No Response]

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - [No Response]

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - [No Response]

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - [No Response]

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - [No Response]

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - [No Response]

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - [No Response]

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - [No Response]

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - [No Response]

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - [No Response]

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - [No Response]

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - [No Response]

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - [No Response]

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    - ◦ [No Response]

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - N/A

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - N/A

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - N/A

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - N/A

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - N/A

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - N/A

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - N/A

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - N/A

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - N/A

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - N/A

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - N/A

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - N/A

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - N/A

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - N/A

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - N/A

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - N/A

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - N/A

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - good

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - computers

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - euchre

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**

   ◦ engr 101

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Frequently

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Heavier

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Agree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Frequently

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Frequently

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Neutral

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Agree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - n/a

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - n/a

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - n/a

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**

   ◦ n/a

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Strongly Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Strongly Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Almost Always

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Strongly Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Strongly Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Much Lighter

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Strongly Agree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Almost Always

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Almost Always

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Strongly Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Strongly Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Strongly Disagree

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Strongly Agree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Strongly Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Strongly Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Strongly Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Strongly Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - Amazing

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - Super well structured

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - [No Response]

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    - ◦ [No Response]

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Almost Always

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Strongly Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Strongly Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Heavier

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Strongly Agree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Almost Always

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Almost Always

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Strongly Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Disagree

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Disagree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - I really liked Nicole Hamilton's teaching style. I would like to take a different course taught by her in the future if I am able.

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - I really liked how structured the course was and how easy it was to figure out was was expected of you.

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - honestly, my only suggestion would be to extend the project due date to the midnight of whatever day it is due to allow more

time to finish up the last minute details.

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    - ◦ EECS 183 proved to be the most effective in preparing me for this course because it was the preparatory course for 280.

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Frequently

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Typical

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Neutral

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Frequently

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Occasionally

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Disagree

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Disagree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Neutral

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - Nicole Hamilton cared about the subject, but sometimes introduced ideas without any explanation

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - Strengths are that the labs targeted the important stuff and helped with understanding

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - More interesting lectures

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    - Engineering 101 was most effective

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Strongly Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Strongly Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Almost Always

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Strongly Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Strongly Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Much Heavier

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Strongly Agree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Almost Always

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Almost Always

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Strongly Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Strongly Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Strongly Agree

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Strongly Agree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Strongly Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Strongly Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Strongly Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Strongly Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - [No Response]

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - [No Response]

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - [No Response]

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    - ○ [No Response]

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Sometimes

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Heavier

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Agree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Frequently

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Frequently

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Strongly Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Strongly Disagree

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Neutral

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Strongly Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Neutral

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - Well done

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - Projects and labs

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - Make lectures more easy to follow

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    - EECS 203 was the least effective

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Strongly Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Frequently

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Neutral

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Much Heavier

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Agree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Frequently

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Frequently

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Disagree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - N/A

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Agree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Disagree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - [No Response]

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - [No Response]

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - [No Response]

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    - [No Response]

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Neutral

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Almost Always

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Typical

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Agree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Almost Always

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Frequently

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Strongly Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - N/A

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Strongly Agree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Strongly Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - Very strong, but only effective to a certain extent. Most of the learning comes from simply doing the assignment. That being said, the instructors are effective in explaining a top–down view of the material.

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - Assignments are hands on, instructors are good, my lab IA in particular was very good at explaining things deeply that helped me understand the material better. Piazza is a good resource.

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - The projects can be vague and not in a good way. While Piazza helps, if the base instructions involve us using things we haven't learned about in class, that is detrimental to our morale and our ability to complete our projects. In the future, more details on the project spec would be nice.

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    - ENG 101 could be more helpful. While it's good for teaching you C++, the first few projects can take you off guard.

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Neutral

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Neutral

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Sometimes

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Neutral

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Typical

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Neutral

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Sometimes

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Sometimes

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Neutral

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Neutral

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Neutral

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Disagree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Neutral

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Neutral

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Neutral

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Neutral

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - Ok

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - Lab

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - Harder projects

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    ◦ EEcs 183

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Strongly Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Strongly Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Almost Always

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Strongly Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Strongly Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Heavier

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Strongly Agree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Almost Always

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Almost Always

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Strongly Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Neutral

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Agree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Strongly Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Strongly Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Strongly Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Strongly Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - good

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - labs

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - more talk about projects in lecture

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**

   - eecs 183

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Sometimes

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Much Heavier

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Agree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Frequently

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Frequently

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Disagree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Strongly Disagree

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Strongly Agree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Neutral

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - [No Response]

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - [No Response]

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - [No Response]

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    - [No Response]

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Frequently

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Strongly Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Typical

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Agree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Frequently

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Frequently

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Disagree

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Agree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Strongly Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - pretty good teaching code is hard but Hamilton did a nice job

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - allowed for teamwork with the projects, and had lots of coding practice

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - making more optional sections for labs that are relatable to the exams

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    - engin 151 the most because it also dealt will c++ coding and pretty much made the first half of the course mostly review

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Neutral

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Neutral

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Sometimes

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Neutral

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Neutral

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Typical

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Neutral

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Sometimes

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Sometimes

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Neutral

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Neutral

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Neutral

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Neutral

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Neutral

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Neutral

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Neutral

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Neutral

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - [No Response]

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - [No Response]

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - [No Response]

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    - ◦ [No Response]

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Frequently

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Heavier

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Disagree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Sometimes

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Sometimes

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Neutral

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Agree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Neutral

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - [No Response]

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - [No Response]

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - [No Response]

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
   ◦ [No Response]

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Strongly Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Strongly Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Almost Always

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Strongly Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Strongly Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Much Lighter

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Strongly Agree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Frequently

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - [No Response]

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Strongly Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Strongly Agree

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Agree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Strongly Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Strongly Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - [No Response]

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - [No Response]

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - [No Response]

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
   ◦ [No Response]

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Frequently

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Heavier

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Strongly Agree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Almost Always

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Almost Always

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Strongly Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Neutral

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Strongly Agree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Strongly Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Strongly Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Strongly Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Strongly Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - She was a really great and fun professor! I really enjoyed her soundtrack!

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - The projects and labs really helped reinforce the concepts in the class

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - More submits for the project!

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    - EECS 183!

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Strongly Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Strongly Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Almost Always

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Strongly Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Strongly Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Heavier

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Strongly Agree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Almost Always

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Almost Always

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Strongly Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - N/A

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - N/A

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Neutral

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Strongly Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Strongly Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - After my comment about raised hands occasionally not being seen in the previous evaluation, Professor Hamilton now makes sure to keep an eye out for questions. She always makes sure that we understand the material and makes her lectures fun to attend.

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - The projects are practical and hands–on. However, it took a while for me to learn Euchre because I'm from Malaysia.

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - Maybe relate more material to the projects. They can sometimes feel out of sync with the lectures.

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    - N/A

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Strongly Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Strongly Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Almost Always

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Strongly Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Strongly Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Much Heavier

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Strongly Agree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Almost Always

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Almost Always

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Strongly Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - N/A

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Agree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Neutral

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - [No Response]

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - [No Response]

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - [No Response]

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    ◦ [No Response]

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Frequently

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Strongly Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Heavier

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Agree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Frequently

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Sometimes

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Strongly Disagree

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Neutral

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - [No Response]

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - [No Response]

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - [No Response]

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    ○ [No Response]

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Almost Always

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Neutral

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Heavier

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Agree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Almost Always

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Sometimes

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Strongly Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Neutral

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Disagree

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Strongly Agree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Strongly Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - [No Response]

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - [No Response]

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - [No Response]

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    ◦ [No Response]

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Strongly Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Disagree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Frequently

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Strongly Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Much Heavier

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Strongly Agree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Almost Always

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Almost Always

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Strongly Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Neutral

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - N/A

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Strongly Agree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Strongly Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Strongly Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Neutral

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Neutral

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - The instruction itself was very well done, however, there were aspects of the projects that should have warranted some discussion in lecture, which were never touched on. This led to quite a bit of confusion, where the spec for the project was unclear how what the implementation should be. However, office hours felt totally inaccessible, for much of the course it was unclear that the difference between the professor office hours and the staff office hours, which often had multi–hour wait lines. This caused me to feel like office hours were inaccessible and I couldn't ask for help very well.

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**

- ◦ The material was covered very well, and the projects reinforced the material very well.

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - ◦ Find ways to prevent some of the side aspects of the projects from being such as focus. I felt some of these side elements required so much effort, I was unable to truly focus on the parts of the project meant to reinforce the material.

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    - ◦ n/a, as a Freshman, I have not taken any courses here prior to this one, though I did find AP computer science to be immensely helpful.

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Strongly Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Strongly Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Almost Always

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Strongly Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Strongly Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Much Heavier

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Strongly Agree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Almost Always

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Almost Always

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Strongly Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Strongly Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Disagree

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Neutral

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Strongly Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Strongly Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - [No Response]

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - [No Response]

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - [No Response]

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    - [No Response]

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Strongly Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Frequently

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Strongly Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Strongly Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Typical

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - N/A

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - N/A

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - N/A

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - N/A

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Strongly Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Agree

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Agree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Strongly Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - The instruction is very great. Kevin Fu is a very effective, charismatic teacher. I love his axioms, especially the one where he goes "C++ allows you to shoot yourself in the foot."

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - All of the online resources, such as the lecture slides, help a good deal for reviewing material. Additionally, all of the support staff on piazza, during discussion, and during office hours are really great. The content is very interesting and the projects are very stimulating.

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - It would be appreciated if the solutions to the lab worksheets were posted! I know the reason to withhold them is to incentivize attending discussion, but sometimes things come up and students cannot go, and not posting the lab worksheet solutions makes it harder for students to test their knowledge of the material.

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    - EECS 183 has proved to be the most effective because it taught me many of the underlying concepts that allowed me to effectively learn the material in 280.

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Strongly Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Strongly Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Almost Always

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Strongly Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Strongly Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Typical

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Strongly Agree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Almost Always

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Almost Always

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Strongly Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Strongly Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Strongly Agree

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Strongly Agree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Neutral

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Strongly Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Strongly Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Strongly Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - Lectures were recorded so I usually watched them online. However, it was great to see them online as I was able to learn at my own pace.

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - The projects were definitely helpful and provided the level of difficult needed to improve my coding. I definitely believe my coding knowledge has improved and become more efficient.

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - ◦ This course is well organized and developed.

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    - ◦ EECS 183 provided me with the basic structure of code to be successful in this course.

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Strongly Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Strongly Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Almost Always

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Strongly Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Strongly Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Typical

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Strongly Agree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Almost Always

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Almost Always

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Strongly Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Strongly Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Strongly Disagree

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Strongly Agree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Strongly Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Strongly Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Strongly Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - [No Response]

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - [No Response]

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - [No Response]

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    ◦ [No Response]

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Strongly Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Strongly Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Almost Always

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Heavier

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Strongly Agree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Almost Always

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Almost Always

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Strongly Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - N/A

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Strongly Agree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Strongly Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Strongly Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Strongly Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Strongly Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - [No Response]

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - The projects really helped me to understand the subject

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - The exams suck

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**

   ◦ [No Response]

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Strongly Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Strongly Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Almost Always

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Strongly Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Strongly Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Typical

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Agree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Frequently

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Almost Always

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Neutral

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - N/A

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Agree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Disagree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - i think nicole hamilton did a good job as an instructor, but my favorite was fu

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - labs

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - make labs harder

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
   - engr 101, taught basics of c++

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Neutral

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Hardly Ever

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Disagree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Strongly Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Typical

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Strongly Agree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Almost Always

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Almost Always

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Strongly Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Strongly Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - N/A

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Neutral

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Strongly Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Strongly Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Neutral

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - [No Response]

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - [No Response]

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - Limit private tests in Autograder. Having over half of the avaialbe points entirely hidden from a student is a poor, poor way to

run this class. In the real world, programmers know what is expected of them. Obscuring inormation does not help a student learn.

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    - ◦ [No Response]

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Strongly Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Strongly Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Almost Always

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Strongly Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Strongly Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Heavier

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Agree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Frequently

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Frequently

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Strongly Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Strongly Disagree

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Strongly Agree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Strongly Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Strongly Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Strongly Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - Nicole Hamilton brings real life practices into the classroom.

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - The guided example problems in class were very useful.

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - [No Response]

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    - Engr101 was the most effective. It would be more effective if Michigan had small mini–courses that targeted setting up the computer/the parts of the computer that we take for granted.

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Frequently

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Much Heavier

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Neutral

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Almost Always

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Frequently

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Agree

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Agree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - The quality of instruction in this course varies at times, but has been good for the most part.

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - 280 really pushes you to understand the material.

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - Have the content explained by different professors be more consistent.

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    ◦ EECS 183 prepared me the most for this course, as it introduced me to coding in C++.

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Strongly Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Strongly Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Almost Always

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Strongly Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Strongly Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Typical

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Strongly Agree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Almost Always

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Frequently

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Strongly Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - N/A

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Neutral

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - N/A

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Strongly Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Neutral

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - [No Response]

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - [No Response]

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - [No Response]

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    ◦ [No Response]

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Frequently

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Typical

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Strongly Agree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Almost Always

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Almost Always

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Strongly Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Neutral

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Strongly Agree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Strongly Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Strongly Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Strongly Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Strongly Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - The teachers were fantastic.

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - The teachers were great.

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - The projects and exams were occasionally difficult. If we had more practice or access to practice problems that would be

helpful. Also, if we had smaller incremental writing homework in addition to the labs, we would have more of a sense of how well we are doing before actually taking the exams or doing the projects. There isn't really a middle assignment between lectures and exams, so I have no idea if I know what I'm doing before exams or the project.

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    ◇ Engineering 101 was helpful.

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Strongly Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Frequently

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Much Heavier

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Disagree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Occasionally

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Occasionally

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Disagree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Disagree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Strongly Disagree

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Disagree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Disagree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Disagree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Disagree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - This could be taught better

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - You learned a lot. I would say the projects are a little hard but not too bad

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - The Midterm and Final are insanely hard

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    ◦ Engineering 101

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Strongly Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Strongly Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Almost Always

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Strongly Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Strongly Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Typical

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Strongly Agree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Almost Always

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Almost Always

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Strongly Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Strongly Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Neutral

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Strongly Agree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Strongly Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Strongly Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Strongly Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Strongly Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - Excellent quality overall

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - the projects were especially pertinent to the material being covered

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - N/A

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    - N/A

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Frequently

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Neutral

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Neutral

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Much Heavier

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Neutral

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Frequently

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Frequently

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - N/A

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Agree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - Pretty good.

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - Dove into a lot of complicated programing.

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - More accessible help on projects.

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    - 101, helped most with programming.

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Frequently

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Heavier

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Agree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Frequently

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Frequently

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - Agree

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Agree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - [No Response]

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - [No Response]

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - [No Response]

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    ◦ [No Response]

Course Name: EECS 280-002: Prog&Data Struct
Instructor Name: Nicole Hamilton

1. **This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.(Q1631)**
   - Strongly Agree

2. **My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.(Q1632)**
   - Strongly Agree

3. **I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)**
   - Almost Always

4. **Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)**
   - Strongly Agree

5. **I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)**
   - Strongly Agree

6. **As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was...(Q891)**
   - Heavier

7. **Overall, Nicole Hamilton was an excellent teacher.(Q2)**
   - Strongly Agree

8. **Nicole Hamilton seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)**
   - Almost Always

9. **Nicole Hamilton explained material clearly.(Q199)**
   - Almost Always

10. **Nicole Hamilton treated students with respect.(Q217)**
    - Strongly Agree

11. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.**
    - [No Response]

12. **Prerequisites provided adequate preparation for this course. (Q61)**
    - Strongly Agree

13. **The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course. (Q64)**
    - N/A

14. **The laboratory was a valuable part of this course. (Q331)**
    - Agree

15. **Laboratory assignments required a reasonable amount of time and effort. (Q336)**
    - Strongly Agree

16. **Laboratory assignments were relevant to what was presented in class. (Q337)**
    - Strongly Agree

17. **I developed confidence in my abilities as an engineer. (Q1769)**
    - Strongly Agree

18. **I developed the ability to solve real world engineering problems. (Q1770)**
    - Strongly Agree

19. **Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)**
    - I loved this course, and Professor Hamilton helped so much during her lectures. Everything she taught was clear, and many examples were given to fully understand the content.

20. **What were the strengths of the course ? (Q953)**
    - All of the material aligned well with the projects and the labs were also very helpful to touch on the subjects that the projects didn't cover.

21. **What suggestions would you make for improving the course ? (Q955)**
    - Nothing, everything was well planned and helped me learn.

22. **Among the courses you have already taken, which proved the most (or least) effective in preparing you for this course, and why? (Q1098)**
    - Engineering 101 was a helpful base for this course because it kept me coding, but I had a better C++ base from high school.